

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/560,262 | 12/09/2005 | Peter Zatloukal | 120083-146181 | 3358 | |
| 60172 7590 096862010 SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. 1420 FIFTH, SUITE 3400 SEATTLE, WA 98101-4010 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | | | WALSH, DANIEL I | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2887 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 09/08/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|------|
| 10/560,262 | ZATLOUKAL ET | ΓAL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| DANIEL WALSH | 2887 | |

The amendment document filed on <u>31 August 2010</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following liber(s) is required.

| item(s) is required. | ment document to be compliant, correction of the following |
|--|--|
| THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AME 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include mantum the control of the contro | kings. |
| 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFF B. Other | R 1.72. |
| "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR B. The practice of submitting proposed drawin | the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or 1.121(d). Ig correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings s, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. |
| C. Each claim has not been provided with the of each claim cannot be identified. Note: t number by using one of the following statu: (Previously presented), (New), (Not entere- | present. xt of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status he status of every claim must be indicated after its claim is identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), d), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). not been presented in ascending numerical order. |
| 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signal | ned in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4): |
| For further explanation of the amendment format required by | 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714. |
| TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE: | |
| Applicant is given no new time period if the non-complia filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. | ant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendmen non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the |
| (including a submission for a request for continued exam amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CF | following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment ination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental 'R 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a I, the correction required is only the corrected section of the |
| Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.130 amendment or an amendment filed in response to a C | 5(a) <u>only</u> if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final tuayle action. |
| filed in response to a Quayle action; or | ant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental |
| /DANIEL WALSH/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887 | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-324 (01-06)

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Application No.

NOTE: The Examienr notes that the status identifier of claim 1 is "Currently Amended" but there does not appear to be any amendments in the claim (claim is not marked up). The Applicants response also indicates claim amendemnts were made to claim 1, but claim 1 is not marked up (does not show any amendments).

Further, the Examiner notes that the circuitry in Zalewski si intpereted to consitute a transceiver(communication sections of the phone). However, the device itself can be interpreted as a transceiver, as well. Further, Pertills still teaches a common transceiver, since it recites "although it is possible in some implementation". This is not believed to be teaching away as argued by the Applicant. The Examiner suggests reconsideration of such arguments by the Applicant with filing a corrected response.